IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

BCS Insurance Company,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No: 3:12-cv-00933-JFA
vs.) DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT
Big Thyme Enterprises, Inc.; David A. Crot & Associates, Inc.; and David A. Crotts,	ts)))
Defendants.)
Party Submitting Report: <u>BCS Insurance Company</u>	
1. Are the parties on track to complete deadlines set forth in the most currer	discovery on or before the discovery nt scheduling order?
X Yes	
No	
on your opponent: BCS does not	set of interrogatories were (or will be) served anticipate serving discovery requests. BCS action can be adjudicated without inquiry into
3. Indicate the date on which the first depositions have been scheduled or a	t deposition was (or will be) scheduled: No are anticipated by BCS.
Enterprises, Inc. served interrogator 2012 by U.S. Mail. The discovery	be completed in this case: None. Big Thyme ies and requests for production on August 29, served by Big Thyme is untimely under the co object to the Big Thyme discovery, at a rvice.
Date: September 5, 2012	s/ Clay Robinson
	Clay Robinson [Fed. ID # 3505] ROBINSON, MCFADDEN & MOORE, P.C.
	Counsel for BCS Insurance Company